

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/019,240	05/13/2002	Jorg Peter Schur	von Kreisler.018	1893
110	7590 10/06/2005		EXAMINER	
DANN, DORFMAN, HERRELL & SKILLMAN 1601 MARKET STREET			WINSTON, RANDALL O	
SUITE 2400	LISIRELI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2307			1655	

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/019,240	SCHUR, JORG	PETER
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Randall Winston	1655	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence ac	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuly any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09.	<u>June 2005</u> .		
·	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to th	e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-13,15-19 and 22-31</u> is/are pen	ding in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	*		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-13,15-19 and 22-31</u> is/are reje	cted.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	* , ,	` ,	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documer			
2. Certified copies of the priority documer			
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pri		n received in this National	l Stage
application from the International Bures			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies no	ot received.	
			,
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T 1-4 :	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	3) 5) ☐ Notice of 6) ☐ Other: _	Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./I	

Application/Control Number: 10/019,240

Art Unit: 1655

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of species of a propylene glycol, a benzyl alcohol, tannins and a lactic acid in the reply filed on 06/09/2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 1-6, 8-13, 15-19, 22-31 will be examined on the merits.

Claims 7, 14 and 20-21 are acknowledged to be canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3,8,16, 29,30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "benzyl alcohol." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. (Does applicant mean that the claim should read an antimicrobial composition further comprises of benzyl alcohol?)

Claim 8 recites the limitation "benzyl alcohol." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 16 recites the limitation "the water." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 29 recites the limitation "benzyl alcohol." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. (Does applicant mean that the claim should read an antimicrobial composition further comprises of benzyl alcohol?)

Claim 30 recites the limitation "benzyl alcohol." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

All other claims depend directly or indirectly from the rejected claims and are, therefore, also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph for the reasons set forth.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 8-13, 15-19, 22-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Andrews (US 5569461) in view of Howell et al. (US 6608102), Varga J. (Derwent ACC-NO 1976-72203X, see abstract), Hopp et al. (US 4110430), Isaacs (US 6033705) and Beerse et al. (US 6284259).

Applicant's claims a method for disinfection of air to reduce the concentration of germs comprising the distributing or atomizing of an antimicrobial composition wherein the antimicrobial composition is free from ethanol and isopropanol and wherein the

antimicrobial composition comprises propylene glycol, tannins, lactic acid, benzyl alcohol and further comprises hydrocinnamic alcohol, additional GRAS flavoring agents such as essential oils (see, e.g. claim 10) and an emulsifier (see, e.g. claim 17).

Andrews teaches an antimicrobial composition comprising propylene glycol and lactic acid for disinfecting. Andrews does not teach the other claimed active ingredient such as tannins, a benzyl alcohol, a hydrocinnamic alcohol, additional GRAS flavoring agents such as essential oils and an emulsifier contained within its antimicrobial composition.

Howell et al. benefically teach (see, e.g., contained with its patent, "Other Reference Publications", Scalber et al.) tannins to have antimicrobial properties.

Varga J benefically teach (see, e.g. abstract) a benzyl alcohol to have antimicrobial and/or antibacterial properties.

Hopp et al. benefically teach (see, e.g., column 1, lines 21-29 and lines 60-65) a hydrocinnamic alcohol to have antimicrobial and/or antibacterial properties.

Issacs benefically teach (see, e.g., column 10, lines 23-29) an emulsifier may be added to a compound to enhance its antimicrobial effect.

Beerse et al. benefically teach (see, e.g. column 9, lines 19-39) essential oils to have antimicrobial and/or antibacterial properties.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Andrews' antimicrobial composition to include the other claimed active ingredients benefically taught by Howell, Varga J, Hopp, Isaacs and Beerse because the combined above references would create an improved claimed

Art Unit: 1655

antimicrobial composition wherein the improved claimed composition would intrinsically disinfect the air when reducing the concentration of microbial and/or bacteria germs within the air. Furthermore, the adjustment of other conventional working conditions (e.g. the claimed concentrations of the antimicrobial composition within the air, the type of antimicrobial system and/or spray design and the substitution of known bacteria for one another to be treated and/or reduced), is deemed merely a matter of judicious selection and routine optimization which is well within the purview of the skilled artisan.

Accordingly, the claimed invention was prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randall Winston whose telephone number is 571-272-0972. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on 571-272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/019,240

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1655

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

9-29-US

Page 6

SUSAN COE PRIMARY EXAMINER